1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PAUL B. BEACH, State Bar No. 166265 pbeach@lbaclaw.com JAMES S. EICHER, State Bar No. 2137 jeicher@lbaclaw.com LAWRENCE BEACH ALLEN & CHO! 100 West Broadway, Suite 1200 Glendale, California 91210-1219 Telephone No. (818) 545-1925 Facsimile No. (818) 545-1937 Attorneys for Defendant Geoff Dean	96	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JUDY ANNE MIKOVITS,	Case No. CV 14-08909 SVW (PLAx)	
12	Plaintiff,	Honorable Stephen V. Wilson	
13	vs.	DECLARATION OF JAMES S.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ADAM GARCIA, JAMIE MCGUIRE, RICHARD GAMMICK, GEOFF DEAN, THREE UNIDENTIFIED VENTURA COUNTY DEPUTY SHERIFFS, F. HARVEY WHITTEMORE, ANNETTE F. WHITTEMORE, CARLI WEST KINNE, WHITTEMORE-PETERSON INSTITUTE, a Nevada corporation, UNEVX INC., a Nevada corporation, MICHAEL HILLERBY, KENNETH HUNTER, GREG PARI and VINCENT LOMBARDI,  Defendants.	EICHER, JR. IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT DEAN'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS  Date: November 16, 2015 Time: 1:30 p.m. Crtm: 6  [Reply; Response to Separate Statement of Uncontroverted Facts; and Evidentiary Objections filed concurrently herewith]	
23 24 25 26 27	TO THE CLERK OF COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Sheriff Geoff Dean ("Defendant") in connection with his Motion for Summary Judgment and		
28	objections to the evidence submitted by	·	

1	Defendant's Motion, hereby submits the Declaration of James S. Eicher, Jr.	
2		
3	Dated: November 2, 2015	LAWRENCE BEACH ALLEN & CHOI, PC
4		
5		By/s/ Paul B. Beach
6		Paul B. Beach James S. Eicher, Jr.
7		Attorneys for Defendant
8		Geoff Dean
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DECLARATION OF JAMES S. EICHER, JR.

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21

19

22 23

25 26

24

27

28

I, James S. Eicher, Jr., declare as follows:

- The following is based upon my personal knowledge and if called upon as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before this Court and all the courts of the State of California. I am an associate in the law firm of Lawrence Beach Allen & Choi, PC, attorneys for Defendant Geoff Dean, Sheriff of Ventura County ("Defendant Dean").
- 2. The following supplemental declaration is submitted by Defendant Dean in support of his evidentiary objections, as well as to apprise the Court that there exists substantial evidence in support of a proceeding against Plaintiff Judy Anne Mikovits ("Plaintiff") and her counsel under Rule 56(h).
- 3. On September 24, 2015, my office sent correspondence and attachments (described below) to Plaintiff's counsel, Michael R. Hugo and Robert J. Liskey. The purpose of this correspondence was to provide Plaintiff and her counsel with evidence that statements contained within the Affidavit of Plaintiff ("Affidavit") (Doc. Nos. 120-2 and 121) and her Opposition (Doc. No. 120) were patently incorrect.
- 4. For example, in response to Plaintiff's claim that she "was placed under arrest by Ventura County law enforcement" (Affidavit, para. 13), I sent Plaintiff's counsel a certified copy of the Supplemental Booking Sheet showing that the arresting agency was "VPD" (i.e., the Ventura Police Department.) In addition, a copy of an Affidavit in Support of Property Disposition Order was also provided, which indicated that the *Ventura Police Department* conducted the investigation and executed the subject search warrant at Plaintiff's residence.
- Also, in response to Plaintiff's claim that "at no time was I photographed while in the County jail or lockup" (Affidavit, para. 18), I sent

- Plaintiff's counsel a certified copy of Plaintiff's booking photograph (front view) taken at the Ventura County Jail at the time of her booking on November 18, 2011. An additional certified booking photo of Plaintiff (profile view) was provided by my office on October 15, 2015.
- 6. Furthermore, in response to Plaintiff's claim that her fingerprints were not taken during her incarceration (First Amended Complaint, para. 108), I sent Plaintiff's counsel a certified copy of the Ventura County Fingerprint Card reflecting the fingerprints taken of Plaintiff at the time of her booking.
- 7. Similarly, in response to Plaintiff's claim that "Nobody would tell me what I was being held for or what I was charged with" (Affidavit paragraphs 15, 19, and 25; Opp. p. 13), I sent Plaintiff's counsel a certified copy of the Ventura County Extradition pre-waiver rights form signed by Plaintiff memorializing her understanding that she had been arrested based on a warrant from the State of Nevada charging her with Possession of Stolen Property and Unlawful Taking of Computer Date. The Extradition pre-waiver rights form sent was obtained from the Ventura County Superior Court file for Plaintiff's case, No. 2011040771, and certified by a representative of the Ventura County Superior Court to be a true and correct copy on file.
- 8. In response to Plaintiff's claim that she was not capable of making any phone calls and not allowed "any form of communication with the outside world, including her husband" (Affidavit paragraph 16; Opp. p. 14), I sent Plaintiff's counsel a certified copy of the Ventura County Sheriff's Department's Call Detail Report reflecting multiple telephone calls made by Plaintiff and multiple visitations that she had during her incarceration at the Todd Road Detention Facility, including with her husband, a friend, a bail bondsman, and an attorney. I also enclosed a CD with certified copies of the audio recordings of Plaintiff's jail communications (other than with an attorney).

- 8. On October 5, 2015, Plaintiff's counsel, in furtherance of a phone conversation that same day with attorney Paul B. Beach from my office, emailed my office correspondence addressing the contentions that Plaintiff's Affidavit and First Amended Complaint contained numerous fabrications. As to Plaintiff's claim that she was not capable of making phone calls and not allowed "any form of communication with the outside world, including her husband" (Affidavit paragraph 16; Opp. p. 14), instead of immediately retracting these allegations, Plaintiff's counsel responded with, "As for lack of communication, we stand by our statements exactly as written. Until she was transferred to the other facility at 2:00 AM, she was completely cut off, as was written in the Opposition."
- 9. Therefore, in a further attempt to put this specific issue to rest and to prevent the needless review of this falsehood by the Court, on October 9, 2015, I sent correspondence and attachments (described below) to Plaintiff's counsel containing evidence that Plaintiff had in fact communicated via telephone with her husband, prior to her transfer to another detention facility.
- 10. Specifically, attached to my October 9, 2015, correspondence was a certified copy of the Ventura County Sheriff's Department's Call Detail Report, for calls made specifically from the Women's Booking area of the main jail on November 18, 2011. Six calls were made by Plaintiff from the Women's Booking area of the main jail to her husband, David, and are described below:
  - a. The first call made by Plaintiff was at 3:17 p.m., during which she left a message for her husband advising him where she was and that she needed an attorney and a bail bondsman.
    - b. The second call made by Plaintiff was not connected.
  - c. The third call was made by Plaintiff at 6:18 p.m. to her husband. David can be heard advising Plaintiff that he had contacted a bail bondsman who was attempting to post bail in Ventura and in Reno, Nevada. David is heard advising Plaintiff that he is in the process of

- obtaining a criminal lawyer for her as well. Plaintiff and her husband discuss her anticipated movement to another jail facility later that evening, bail arrangements, the arrest warrant from Nevada, and Plaintiff's request to cancel travel plans.
- d. The fourth call was made by Plaintiff at 6:48 p.m., during which she advises her husband that she was arrested for being a fugitive from Nevada and that she would not be able to bail out that evening. She further advises her husband that she will be moving to the Ventura County Sheriff's Todd Road Facility. During this call, Plaintiff is advised by her husband of the name of her criminal lawyer.
- e. The fifth call was made by Plaintiff at 7:30 p.m., and Plaintiff is heard discussing with her husband the fact that there is a bail hold placed from Reno, Nevada, and that Plaintiff is scheduled to appear before a Ventura Superior Court Judge the following Tuesday. During this call, Plaintiff appears to be well aware of the charges she is facing in Nevada by stating, "How can I not worry about stuff, . . .felony grand theft."
- f. The sixth and final call was made by Plaintiff at 10:58 p.m. and the conversation regards Plaintiff being represented by a criminal attorney at her arraignment in court the following Tuesday.
- 11. The above documents were provided to Plaintiff and her counsel in an effort to eliminate uncontrovertable issues, clean up false statements submitted to the Court by Plaintiff and her counsel, and streamline this Court's review of the Summary Judgment Motion filed by Defendant Dean. The purpose was also to put Plaintiff and her counsel on notice of Defendant Dean's intent to seek all appropriate sanctions for the costs and burdens needlessly caused by Plaintiff's unmeritorious factual and legal arguments.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 2, 2015 at Glendale, California. s/ James S. Eicher, Jr.
James S. Eicher, Jr.